## **CME ARTICLE**

# EFNS guideline on the drug treatment of migraine – revised report of an EFNS task force

S. Evers<sup>a</sup>, J. Áfra<sup>b</sup>, A. Frese<sup>a,c</sup>, P. J. Goadsby<sup>d,e</sup>, M. Linde<sup>f</sup>, A. May<sup>g</sup> and P. S. Sándor<sup>h</sup>

#### \_\_\_\_

**Keywords:** 

evidence-based medicine, migraine, prophylaxis, triptans

Received 25 March 2009 Accepted 3 June 2009 **Background:** Migraine is one of the most frequent disabling neurological conditions with a major impact on the patients' quality of life.

**Objectives:** To give evidence-based or expert recommendations for the different drug treatment procedures in the particular migraine syndromes based on a literature search and the consensus of an expert panel.

**Methods:** All available medical reference systems were screened for the range of clinical studies on migraine with and without aura and on migraine-like syndromes. The findings in these studies were evaluated according to the recommendations of the European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS) resulting in level A, B, or C recommendations and good practice points.

**Recommendations:** For the acute treatment of migraine attacks, oral non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) and triptans are recommended. The administration should follow the concept of stratified treatment. Before intake of NSAID and triptans, oral metoclopramide or domperidone is recommended. In very severe attacks, intravenous acetylsalicylic acid or subcutaneous sumatriptan are drugs of first choice. Status migrainosus can be treated by cortoicosteroids, although this is not universally held to be helpful, or dihydroergotamine. For the prophylaxis of migraine, betablockers (propranolol and metoprolol) flunarizine, valproic acid, and topiramate are drugs of first choice. Drugs of second choice for migraine prophylaxis include amitriptyline, naproxen, petasites, and bisoprolol.

## **Objectives**

These guidelines aim to give evidence-based recommendations for the drug treatment of migraine attacks and of migraine prophylaxis. The non-drug management (e.g. behavioral therapy) will not be included. The definitions follow the diagnostic criteria of the International Headache Society (IHS).

# **Background**

The second edition of the classification of the IHS provided a new subclassification of different migraine

Correspondence: S. Evers, Department of Neurology, University of Münster, Albert-Schweitzer-Str. 33, 48129 Münster, Germany (tel.: +49 251 8348196; fax: +49 251 8348181; e-mail: everss@uni-muenster.de).

This is a Continuing Medical Education article, and can be found with corresponding questions on the internet at http://www.efns.org/content.php?pid = 132. Certificates for correctly answering the questions will be issued by the EFNS

syndromes [1]. The basic criteria for migraine attacks remained nearly unchanged. The different migraine syndromes with specific aura features, however, were classified in a new system. The diagnostic criteria for all migraine syndromes have been published on the homepage of the IHS (http://www.i-h-s.org).

The recommendations are based on the scientific evidence from clinical trials and on the expert consensus by the respective task force of the EFNS. The legal aspects of drug prescription and drug availability in the different European countries will not be considered. The definitions of the recommendation levels follow the EFNS criteria [2].

# Search strategy

A literature search was performed using the reference databases MedLine, Science Citation Index, and the Cochrane Library; the key words used were 'migraine' and 'aura' (last search in January 2009). All papers published in English, German, or French were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Münster, Münster, Germany; <sup>b</sup>National Institute of Neurosurgery, Budapest, Hungary; <sup>c</sup>Academy of Manual Medicine, Münster, Germany; <sup>d</sup>Headache Group, Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco CA, USA; <sup>c</sup>UCL, Institute of Neurology Queen Square, London, UK; <sup>f</sup>Cephalea Headache Centre, Läkarhuset Södra vägen, Gothenburg, Sweden; <sup>g</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Hamburg, Germany; and <sup>h</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Zurich, Switzerland

considered when they described a controlled trial or a case series on the treatment of at least five patients. In addition, a review book [3] and the German treatment recommendations for migraine [4] were considered.

# Method for reaching consensus

All authors performed an independent literature search. The first draft of the manuscript was written by the chairman of the task force. All other members of the task force read the first draft and discussed changes by email. A second draft was then written by the chairman and again discussed by email. All recommendations had to be agreed to by all members of the task force unanimously.

# Drug treatment of migraine attacks

Several large randomized, placebo-controlled trials have been published on the acute management of migraine. In most of these trials, successful treatment of migraine attacks was defined by the following criteria [5]:

- pain free after 2 h
- improvement of headache from moderate or severe to mild or none after 2 h [6]
- consistent efficacy in two of three attacks
- no headache recurrence and no further drug intake within 24 h after successful treatment (so-called sustained pain relief or pain free).

# **Analgesics**

Drugs of first choice for mild or moderate migraine attacks are analgesics. Evidence of efficacy in migraine treatment in at least one placebo-controlled study has been obtained for acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) up to 1000 mg [7-10], ibuprofen 200-800 mg [8,10-12], diclofenac 50-100 mg [13-15], phenazon 1000 mg [16], metamizol 1000 mg [17], tolfenamic acid 200 mg [18], and paracetamol 1000 mg [19]. In addition, the fixed combination of ASA, paracetamol, and caffeine is effective in acute migraine treatment and is also more effective than the single substances or combinations without caffeine [20-22]. Intravenous ASA was more effective than subcutaneous ergotamine [23]; intravenous metamizol was superior to placebo in migraine without and with aura [24]. Lysine-ASA in combination with metoclopramide had comparable efficacy as sumatriptan [9]. Effervescent ASA 1000 mg is probably as effective as ibuprofen 400 mg and as sumatriptan 50 mg [10,25,26].

Also the selective COX-2 inhibitors have been investigated in clinical trials. Valdecoxib 20–40 mg and rofecoxib 25–50 mg, the latter one not available on the market any more, have shown efficacy in acute migraine

**Table 1** Analgesics with evidence of efficacy in at least one study on the acute treatment of migraine, the level of recommendation also considers side effects and consistency of the studies

Substance	Dose, mg	Level of recommendation	Comment
Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA)	1000 (oral)	A	Gastrointestinal side effects,
(ASA)	1000 (i.v.)	A	Risk of bleeding
Ibuprofen	200-800	A	Side effects as for ASA
Naproxen	500-1000	A	Side effects as for ASA
Diclofenac	50-100	A	Including diclofenac-K
Paracetamol	1000 (oral)	A	Caution in liver and kidney
	1000 (supp.)	A	Failure
ASA plus mol plus caffeine	250 (oral) 200–250 50	A	As for ASA and paraceta- paracetamol
Metamizol	1000 (oral)	В	Risk of agranulocytosis
	1000 (i.v.)	В	Risk of hypotension
Phenazon	1000 (oral)	В	See paracetamol
Tolfenamic acid	200 (oral)	В	Side effects as for ASA

treatment [27–30]. Table 1 presents an overview of analgesics with efficacy in acute migraine treatment.

In order to prevent drug overuse headache, the intake of simple analgesics should be restricted to 15 days per month and the intake of combined analgesics to 10 days per month.

#### **Antiemetics**

The use of antiemetics in acute migraine attacks is recommended to treat nausea and potential emesis and because it is assumed that these drugs improve the resorption af analgesics [31–33]. However, there are no prospective, placebo-controlled randomized trials to prove this assertion. Metoclopramide also has a genuine mild analgesic efficacy when given orally [34] and a higher efficacy when given intravenously [35]. There is no evidence that the fixed combination of an antiemetic with an analgesic is more effective than the analgesic alone. Metoclopramide 20 mg is recommended for adults and adolescents, in children domperidon 10 mg should be used because of the possible extrapyramidal side effects of metoclopramide. Table 2 presents the antiemetics recommended for the use in migraine attacks.

# **Ergot alkaloids**

There are only very few randomized, placebo-controlled trials on the efficacy of ergot alkaloids in the

Table 2 Antiemetics recommended for the acute treatment of migraine attacks

Substances	Dose, mg	Level	Comment
Metoclopramide	10–20 (oral) 20 (suppository) 10 (intramuscular, intravenous, subcutaneous)	В	Side effect: dyskinesia; contraindicated in childhood and in pregnancy; also analgesic efficacy
Domperidon	20–30 (oral)	В	Side effects less severe than in metoclopramide; can be given to children

acute migraine treatment [36]. In comparative trials, triptans showed better efficacy than ergot alkaloids [37– 40]. The advantage of ergot alkaloids is a lower recurrence rate in some patients. Therefore, these substances should be restricted to patients with very long migraine attacks or with regular recurrence. The only compounds with sufficient evidence of efficacy are ergotamine tartrate and dihydroergotamine 2 mg (oral and suppositories, respectively). Ergot alkaloids can induce drug overuse headache very fast and in very low doses [41]. Therefore, their use must be limited to 10 days per month. Major side effects are nausea, vomiting, paraesthesia, and ergotism. Contraindications are cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, Raynaud's disease, arterial hypertension, renal failure, and pregnancy and lactation.

## Triptans (5-HT<sub>1B/1D</sub>-agonists)

The 5-HT<sub>1B/1D</sub> receptor agonists sumatriptan, zolmitriptan, naratriptan, rizatriptan, almotriptan, eletrip-

tan, and frovatriptan (order in the year of marketing), so-called triptans, are migraine medications and should not be applied in other headache disorders except cluster headache. The different triptans for migraine therapy are presented in Table 3. The efficacy of all triptans has been proven in large placebo-controlled trials of which metaanalyses have been published [42,43]. For sumatriptan [9,44] and zolmitriptan [45] comparative studies with ASA and metoclopramide exist. In these comparative studies, the triptans were not or only a little more effective than ASA. In about 60% of nonresponders to NSAID, triptans are effective [46]. Sumatriptan 6 mg subcutaneously is more effective than intravenous ASA 1000 mg s.c. but has more side effects [47]. Triptans can be effective at any time during a migraine attack. However, there is evidence that the earlier triptans are taken the better their efficacy is [48– 52]. It is still debated whether triptans are less efficacious or even may fail when taken after the onset of allodynia during a migraine attack [49,53], with randomized controlled trials not supporting a difference for allodynic patients [52,54]. A strategy of strictly early intake can, however, lead to frequent drug treatment in certain patients. The use of triptans is restricted to maximum 9 days per month by the IHS criteria; in epidemiological studies, the risk for chronification became significant at 12 days per month of triptan intake [55]. Otherwise, the induction of a drug overuse headache is possible for all triptans [41,56,57].

One typical problem of attack treatment in migraine is headache recurrence defined as a worsening of headache after pain free or mild pain has been achieved with a drug within 24 h [58]. About 15–40% (depending on the primary and the lasting efficacy of the drug) of the patients taking an oral triptan experience

Substance	Dose, mg	Level	Comment
Sumatriptan	25, 50, 100 (oral including rapid-release)	A	100 mg sumatriptan is reference to all triptans
	25 (suppository)	A	
	10, 20 (nasal spray)	A	
	6 (subcutaneous)	A	
Zolmitriptan	2.5, 5 (oral including disintegrating form)	A	
	2.5, 5 (nasal spray)	A	
Naratriptan	2.5 (oral)	A	Less but longer efficacy than sumatriptan
Rizatriptan	10 (oral including	A	5 mg when taking propranolol wafer form)
Almotriptan	12.5 (oral)	A	Probably less side effects than sumatriptan
Eletriptan	20, 40 (oral)	A	80 mg allowed if 40 mg not effective
Frovatriptan	2.5 (oral)	A	Less but longer efficacy than sumatriptan

General side effects for all triptans: chest symptoms, nausea, distal paraesthesia, fatigue. General contraindications: arterial hypertension (untreated), coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, Raynaud's disease, pregnancy and lactation, age under 18 (except sumatriptan nasal spray) and age above 65, severe liver or kidney failure.

Table 3 Different triptans for the treatment of acute migraine attacks (order in the time of marketing), not all doses or application forms are available in all European countries

recurrence. A second dose of the triptan is effective in most cases [59]. If the first dose of a triptan is not effective, a second dose is useless. Combining an NSAID with a triptan (naproxen with sumatriptan) reduces headache recurrence [60].

After application of sumatriptan, severe adverse events have been reported such as myocardial infarction, cardiac arrhythmias, and stroke. The incidence of these events was about 1 in 1 000 000 [61,62]. Reports on severe adverse events also exist for other triptans and for ergotamine tratrate. However, all of the reported patients had contraindications against triptans or the diagnosis of migraine was wrong. In population-based studies, no increased risk of vascular events could be detected for triptan users as compared with a healthy population [63,64]. Contraindications for the use of triptans are untreated arterial hypertension, coronary heart disease, Raynaud's disease, history of ischaemic stroke, pregnancy, lactation, and severe liver or renal failure.

Owing to safety aspects, triptans should not be taken during the aura although no specific severe adverse events have been reported. The best time for application is the very onset of headache. Furthermore, triptans are not efficacious when taken during the aura phase before headache has developed [65,66].

## Comparison of triptans

Some minor differences between triptans exist which will be discussed in order to give a guidance which triptan to use in an individual patient. A triptan can be efficacious even if another triptan was not [67,68].

Subcutaneous sumatriptan has the fastest onset of efficacy of about 10 min [69]. Oral rizatriptan and eletriptan need about 30 min, oral sumatriptan, almotriptan, and zolmitriptan need about 45–60 min [42], and naratriptan and frovatriptan need up to 4 h for the onset of efficacy [70,71]. Zolmitriptan nasal spray has a shorter duration until efficacy than oral zolmitriptan [72]. There is no evidence that different oral formulations such as rapidly disolving tablets, wafer forms, or rapid release forms [73] act earlier than others.

Pain relief after 2 h as the most important efficacy parameter is best in subcutaneous sumatripan with up to 80% responders [74]. Sumatriptan nasal spray has the same efficacy as oral sumatriptan 50 mg or 100 mg. 25 mg oral sumatriptan is less effective than the higher doses but has less side effects [42]. Sumatriptan suppositories are about as effective as oral sumatriptan 50 or 100 mg and should be given to patients with vomiting [75–77]. Naratriptan and frovatriptan (2.5 mg) are less effective than sumatriptan 50 or 100 mg but have less side effects. The duration until the onset of efficacy

is longer in these two triptans as compared with all others. Rizatriptan 10 mg is a little more effective than sumatriptan 100 mg. Oral zolmitriptan 2.5 or 5 mg, almotriptan 12.5 mg and eletriptan 40 mg show a similar efficacy and similar side effects [78–80]. Eletriptan 80 mg is the most effective oral triptan but also has the most side effects [42].

The highest recurrence rate is observed after subcutaneous sumatriptan. Naratriptan and frovatriptan show the lowest recurrence rates but have poor initial response rates. Frovatriptan has been compared with sumatriptan but the recurrence data has never been made public, which at least calls the assertion that is has a lower recurrence rate into question. It might be that triptans with a longer half-life time have a lower recurrence rate [81], although if frovatriptan does not have a lower recurrence rate this argument would no longer be tenable. Another problem in clinical practice is inconsistency of efficacy. Therefore, efficacy only in two of three attacks is regarded as good. Rizatriptan in combination with dexamethasone seems to be significantly more effective than rizatriptan alone, although this combination is associated with a higher rate of adverse events [82].

#### Other drugs

There is some evidence that the intravenous application of valproic acid in a dose of 300–800 mg is efficacious also in the acute treatment of migraine attacks [83,84], and similarly an older study for intravenous flunarizine [85]. However, the evidence is weak. Tramadol in combination with paracetamol has also shown efficacy in acute migraine attacks [86]. However, opioids are of only minor efficacy, no modern controlled trials are available for these substances; opioids and tranquilizers should not be used in the acute treatment of migraine.

# Migraine prophylaxis

Prophylactic drugs for the treatment of migraine with good efficacy and tolerability and evidence of efficacy are betablockers, calcium channel blockers, antiepileptic drugs, NSAID, antidepressants, and miscellaneous drugs. The use of all these drugs, however, is based on empirical data rather than on proven pathophysiological concepts. The decision to introduce a prophylactic treatment has to be discussed with the patient carefully. The efficacy of the drugs, their potential side effects, and their interactions with other drugs have to be considered in the individual patient. There is no commonly accepted indication for starting a prophylactic treatment. In the view of the Task Force,

prophylactic drug treatment of migraine should be considered and discussed with the patient when:

- the quality of life, business duties, or school attendance are severely impaired
- frequency of attacks per month is two or higher
- migraine attacks do not respond to acute drug treatment
- frequent, very long, or uncomfortable auras occur. A migraine prophylaxis is regarded as successful if the frequency of migraine attacks per month is decreased by at least 50% within 3 months. For therapy evaluation, a migraine diary is extremely useful. In the following paragraphs, the placebo-controlled trials in migraine prophylaxis are summarized. The recommended drugs of first choice, according to the consensus of the Task Force, are given in Table 4. Tables 5 and 6 present drugs recommended as second or third

Table 4 Recommended substances (drugs of first choice) for the prophylactic drug treatment of migraine

Substances	Daily dose (mg)	Level
Betablockers		
Metoprolol	50-200	A
Propranolol	40-240	A
Calcium channel blocker	rs .	
Flunarizine	5–10	A
Antiepileptic drugs		
Valproic acid	500-1800	A
Topiramate	25-100	A

**Table 5** Drugs of second choice for migraine prophylaxis (evidence of efficacy, but less effective or more side effects than drugs of Table 6)

Substances	Daily dose (mg)	Level
Amitriptyline	50–150	В
Venlafaxine	75–150	В
Naproxen	$2 \times 250 - 500$	В
Petasites	$2 \times 75$	В
Bisoprolol	5–10	В

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Table 6} & Drugs of third choice for migraine prophylaxis (only probable efficacy) \\ \end{tabular}$ 

Substances	Daily dose	Level	
Acetylsalicylic acid	300 mg	С	
Gabapentin	1200–1600 mg	C	
Magnesium	24 mmol	C	
Tanacetum parthenium	$3 \times 6.25 \text{ mg}$	C	
Riboflavin	400 mg	C	
Coenzyme Q10	300 mg	C	
Candesartan	16 mg	C	
Lisinopril	20 mg	C	
Methysergide	4–12 mg	C	

choice when the drugs of Table 4 are not effective, contraindicated, or when comorbidity of the patients suggests the respective drug of second or third choice.

#### **Betablockers**

Betablockers are clearly effective in migraine prophylaxis and very well studied in a lot of placebo-controlled, randomized trials. The best evidence has been obtained for metoprolol [87–91] and propranolol [87,88,92–98]. Also, bisoprolol [91,99], timolol [93,100], and atenolol [101] might be effective but evidence is less convincing compared with propranolol and metoprolol.

#### Calcium channel blockers

The 'non-specific' calcium channel blocker flunarizine has been shown to be effective in migraine prophylaxis in several studies [90,98,102–111]. The dose is 5–10 mg, female patients seem to benefit from lower doses than male patients [112]. Another 'non-specific' calcium channel blocker, cyclandelate, has also been studied but with conflicting results [107,113–116]. As the better designed studies were negative, cyclandelate cannot be recommended.

## **Antiepileptic drugs**

Valproic acid in a dose of at least 600 mg [117–120] and topiramte in a dose between 25 and 100 mg [121-124] are the two antiepileptic drugs with evidence of efficacy in more than one placebo-controlled trial. The efficacy rates are comparable to those of metoprolol, propranolol, and flunarizine. Topiramate is also efficacious in the prophylaxis of chronic migraine and may have some effect in migraine with medication overuse [125,126]. Other antiepileptic drugs studied in migraine prophylaxis are lamotrigine and gabapentin. Lamotrigine did not reduce the frequency of migraine attacks but may be effective in reducing the frequency of migraine auras [127,128]. Gabapentin showed efficacy in one placebocontrolled trial in doses between 1200 and 1600 mg using a non-intention-to-treat analysis [129]. Oxcarbazepine was without any efficacy in a very recent study [130].

#### **NSAID**

In some comparative trials, ASA was equivalent to or worse than a comparator (with known efficacy in migraine) but never has achieved a better efficacy than placebo in direct comparison. In two large cohort trials, ASA 200–300 mg reduced the frequency of migraine attacks [131,132]. Naproxen 1000 mg was better than

placebo in three controlled trials [133–135]. Also tolfenamic acid showed efficacy in two placebo-controlled trials [136,137].

## **Antidepressants**

The only antidepressant with consistent efficacy in migraine prophylaxis is amitriptyline in doses between 10 and 150 mg. It has been studied in four older placebocontrolled trials, all with positive results [138–141]. Since the studies with amitriptyline were small and showed central side effects, this drug is recommended only with level B. For femoxetine, two small positive placebo-controlled trials have been published [142,143]. Fluoxetine in doses between 10 and 40 mg was effective in three [144–146] and not effective in one placebocontrolled trial [147]. Venlafaxine extended release (dose 75–150 mg) has shown efficacy in one placebocontrolled [148] and two open trials [149,150] and can therefore be recommended as a second choice antidepressant in migraine prophylaxis.

## Miscellaneous drugs

The antihypertensive drugs lisinopril [151] and candesartan [152] showed efficay in migraine prophylaxis in one placebo-controlled trial each. However, these results have to be confirmed before the drugs can definitely be recommended. The same is true for high-dose riboflavin (400 mg) and coenzyme Q10 which have shown efficacy in one placebo-controlled trial each [153,154]. For oral magnesium, conflicting studies (one positive, one negative) have been published [155,156]. A herbal drug with evidence of efficacy is butterbur root extract (Petasites hybridus). This has been shown for a remedy with 75 mg in two placebo-controlled trials [157,158]. Another herbal remedy, feverfew (Tanacetum parthenium), has been studied in several placebo-controlled trials with conflicting results. Also, the two most recent and best designed studies showed a negative [159] and a positive [160] result; a Cochrane review resulted in a negative meta-analysis of all controlled studies on tanacetum [161].

In older studies, clonidine, pizotifen and methysergide have shown efficacy in migraine prophylaxis. The more recent and better designed studies on clonidine, however, did not confirm any efficacy (for review see 162). Methysergide, which is clearly effective, can be recommended for short-term use only (maximum 6 months per treatment period) because of potentially severe side effects [163]. Pizotifen is not generally recommended because the efficacy is not better than in the substances mentioned above and the side effects (dizziness, weight gain) are classified as very severe by the

task force and limit the use too much [164]. Some experts have found it useful in childhood migraine. Ergot alkaloids have also been used in migraine prophylaxis. The evidence for dihydroergotamine is weak since several studies reported both positive and negative results (for review see 162).

Botulinum toxin was studied so far in four published placebo-controlled trials [165–168]. Only one study showed an efficacy for the low-dose (but not the high-dose) treatment with botulinum toxin [165]. In another study, a *post hoc* analysis of a subgroup of chronic migraine patients without further prophylactic treatment showed benefit from botulinum toxin A [168]. This indication is currently evaluated in a trial program.

No efficacy in migraine prophylaxis has been shown for homoeopathic remedies [169–171]; for montelukast [172]; for acetazolamide 500 mg per day [173]; and for lanepitant [174].

# Specific situations

# **Emergency situation**

Patients with a severe migraine attack in an emergency situation have often already tried oral medication without any success. Treatment of first choice in this situation is the intravenous application of 1000 mg ASA with or without metoclopramide [47]. Alternatively, 6 mg subcutaneous sumatriptan can be given. For the treatment of a status migrainosus, 50-100 mg prednisone or 10 mg dexamethasone is recommended by expert consensus. In placebo-controlled trials, however, no consistent efficacy of this procedure in the acute treatment of migraine attacks [175] or in the prevention of recurrence could be proven [176-179]. Also by expert consensus and supported by open label studies, dihydroergotamine 2 mg (nasal spray or suppositories) is recommended for severe migraine attacks [29]. The intravenous application of metamizol was significantly superior to placebo but can cause severe arterial hypotension and allergic reactions [24,180]. The intravenous application of paracetamol was not efficacious in a placebo-controlled trial in acute migraine attacks [181].

#### Menstrual migraine

Different drug regimes have been studied to treat menstrual migraine. On the one hand, acute migraine treatment with triptans has been studied showing the same efficacy of triptans in menstrual migraine attacks as compared with non-menstrual migraine attacks. On the other hand, short-term prophylaxis of menstrual migraine has been studied.

Naproxen sodium (550 mg twice daily) has been shown to reduce pain including headache in the premenstrual syndrome [182]. Its specific effects on menstrual migraine (550 mg twice daily) have also been evaluated [183–185]. In one trial [183], patients reported fewer and less severe headaches during the week before menstruation than patients treated with placebo. In the other two placebo-controlled trials, naproxen sodium, given during 1 week before and 1 week after the start of menstruation, resulted in fewer perimenstrual headaches; in one study, severity was not reduced [185], but in the other both severity and analgesic requirements were decreased [184]. Even triptans have been used as short-term prophylaxis of menstrual migraine. For naratriptan ( $2 \times 1$  mg per day for 5 days starting 2 days prior to the expected onset of menses) and for frovatriptan ( $2 \times 2.5$  mg given for 6 days perimenstrually), superiority over placebo has been shown [186–188]; however, it can happen that the menstrual migraine attack is delayed into another time of the menstrual cycle [188].

Another prophylactic treatment regime of menstrual migraine is oestrogen replacement therapy. The best evidence, although not as effective as betablockers or other first line prophylactic drugs, has been achieved for transdermal estradiol (not  $<100~\mu g$  given for 6 days perimenstrually as a gel or a patch) [189–192]. A recent study, however, did not show efficacy of hormone replacement with respect to attack frequency during the whole menstrual cycle [193].

# Migraine in pregnancy

There are no specific clinical trials evaluating drug treatment of migraine during pregnancy, most of the migraine drugs are contraindicated. If migraine occurs during pregnancy, only paracetamol is allowed during the whole period. NSAID can be given in the second trimester. These recommendations are based on the advices of the regulatory authorities in most European countries. There might be differences in some respect between different countries (in particular, NSAID might be allowed in the first trimester).

Triptans and ergot alkaloids are contraindicated. For sumatriptan, a large pregnancy register has been established with no reports of any adverse events or complications during pregnancy which might be attributed to sumatriptan [194–198]. Similar results have been published for rizatriptan [199]. Based on the published data, administration of triptans in the first trimester of pregnancy is recommended by expert consensus if the child is more at risk by severe attacks with vomiting than by the potential impact of the triptan. For migraine prophylaxis, only magnesium and meto-

prolol are recommended during pregnancy (level B recommendation) [200].

# Migraine in children and adolescents

The only analgesics with evidence of efficacy for the acute migraine treatment in childhood and adolescents are ibuprofen 10 mg per kg body weight and paracetamol 15 mg per kg body weight [201]. The only antiemetic licensed for the use in children up to 12 years is domperidon. Sumatriptan nasal spray 5-20 mg is the only triptan with positive placebo-controlled trials in the acute migraine treatment of children and adolescents [202-204], the recommended dose for adolescents from the age of 12 is 10 mg. Oral triptans did not show significant efficacy in the first placebo-controlled childhood and adolescents studies [205–207]. This was in particular because of high placebo responses of about 50% in this age group. In post hoc analyses, however, 2.5-5 mg zolmitriptan were effective in adolescents from the age of 12 to 17 [208,209]. In recent trials, oral zolmitriptan 2.5 mg [210], nasal zolmitriptan 5 mg [211], and oral rizatriptan 5–10 mg [212] have been superior to placebo in acute migraein treatment. Ergotamine should not be used in children and adolescents. Also children and adolescents can develop drug-induced headache due to analgesic, ergotamine, or triptan overuse.

For migraine prophylaxis, flunarizine 10 mg and propranolol 40–80 mg per day showed the best evidence of efficacy in children and adolescents [206,213]. Recently, topiramate in a dose between 15 and 200 mg showed efficacy in children and adolescents as well [214,215]. Other drugs have not been studied or did not show efficacy in appropriate studies.

## Need of update

These recommendations should be updated within 3 years and should be complemented by recommendations for the non-drug treatment of migraine.

## Conflicts of interest

The present guidelines were developed without external financial support. The authors report the following financial supports: Stefan Evers: Salary by the University of Münster; honoraries and research grants by Addex Pharm, AGA Medical, Allergan, Almirall, AstraZeneca, Berlin Chemie, Boehringer, CoLucid, Desitin, Eisai, GlaxoSmithKline, Ipsen Pharma, Janssen Cilag, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Pharm Allergan, Pierre Fabre, Reckitt-Benckiser, UCB. Judit Áfra: Salary by the Hungarian Ministry of Health. Achim Frese: Private Praxis; honorary by Berlin Chemie. Peter

J. Goadsby: Salary from University of California, San Francisco; honorarium or research grants in 2008 from Almirall, Boston Scientific, Colucid, Eli-Lilly, GSK, J&J, MAP Pharmaceuticals MSD, Medtronic and Neuralieve. Mattias Linde: Salary by the Swedish government; honoraries by AstraZeneca, Glaxo-SmithKline, MSD, Nycomed, Pfizer. Arne May: Salary by the University Hospital of Hamburg; honoraries by Almirall, AstraZeneca, Bayer Vital, Berlin Chemie, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen Cilag, MSD, Pfizer. Peter S. Sándor: Salary by the University Hospital of Zurich; honoraries by AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen Cilag, Pfizer, Pharm Allergan.

## References

- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. The international classification of headache disorders, 2nd edition. *Cephalalgia* 2004; 24(Suppl 1): 1–160.
- Brainin M, Barnes M, Baron JC, et al. Guidance for the preparation of neurological management guidelines by EFNS scientific task forces – revised recommendations 2004. Eur J Neurol 2004; 11: 577–581.
- Olesen J, Goadsby PJ, Ramadan NM, Tfelt-Hansen P, Welch KMA, eds. *The Headaches*, 3rd edn. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2006: 553–566.
- Evers S, May A, Fritsche G, et al. Akuttherapie und Prophylaxe der Migräne. Leitlinie der Deutschen Migräne- und Kopfschmerzgesellschaft und der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Neurologie. Nervenheilkunde 2008; 27: 933–949.
- Tfelt-Hansen P, Block G, Dahlöf C, et al. Guidelines for controlled trials of drugs in migraine: second edition. Cephalalgia 2000; 20: 765–786.
- Pilgrim AJ. The methods used in clinical trials of sumatriptan in migraine. Headache 1993; 33: 280–293.
- Chabriat H, Joire JE, Danchot J, Grippon P, Bousser MG. Combined oral lysine acetylsalicylate and metoclopramide in the acute treatment of migraine: a multicentre double-blind placebo- controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 1994; 14: 297–300.
- 8. Nebe J, Heier M, Diener HC. Low-dose ibuprofen in self-medication of mild to moderate headache: a comparison with acetylsalicylic acid and placebo. *Cephalalgia* 1995; **15:** 531–535.
- Tfelt-Hansen P, Henry P, Mulder LJ, Scheldewaert RG, Schoenen J, Chazot G. The effectiveness of combined oral lysine acetylsalicylate and metoclopramide compared with oral sumatriptan for migraine. *Lancet* 1995; 346: 923–926.
- Diener HC, Bussone G, de Liano H, et al. Placebocontrolled comparison of effervescent acetylsalicylic acid, sumatriptan and ibuprofen in the treatment of migraine attacks. Cephalalgia 2004; 24: 947–954.
- Havanka-Kanniainen H. Treatment of acute migraine attack: ibuprofen and placebo compared. *Headache* 1989; 29: 507–509.
- Kloster R, Nestvold K, Vilming ST. A double-blind study of ibuprofen versus placebo in the treatment of acute migraine attacks. *Cephalalgia* 1992; 12: 169–171.

- Karachalios GN, Fotiadou A, Chrisikos N, Karabetsos A, Kehagioglou K. Treatment of acute migraine attack with diclofenac sodium: a double-blind study. *Headache* 1992; 32: 98–100.
- 14. Dahlöf C, Björkman R. Diclofenac-K (50 and 100 mg) and placebo in the acute treatment of migraine. *Cephalalgia* 1993; 13: 117–123.
- 15. The Diclofenac-K/Sumatriptan Migraine Study Group. Acute treatment of migraine attacks: efficacy and safety of a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug, diclofenacpotassium, in comparison to oral sumatriptan and placebo. *Cephalalgia* 1999; 19: 232–240.
- Göbel H, Heinze A, Niederberger U, Witt T, Zumbroich V. Efficacy of phenazone in the treatment of acute migraine attacks: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study. *Cephalalgia* 2004; 24: 888–893.
- 17. Tulunay FC, Ergun H, Gulmez SE, *et al.* The efficacy and safety of dipyrone (Novalgin) tablets in the treatment of acute migraine attacks: a double-blind, crossover, randomized, placebo-controlled, multi-center study. *Funct Neurol* 2004; **19:** 197–202.
- Myllyla VV, Havanka H, Herrala L, et al. Tolfenamic acid rapid release versus sumatriptan in the acute treatment of migraine: comparable effect in a double-blind, randomized, controlled, parallel-group study. *Headache* 1998; 38: 201–207.
- Lipton RB, Baggish JS, Stewart WF, Codispoti JR, Fu M. Efficacy and safety of acetaminophen in the treatment of migraine: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, population-based study. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160: 3486–3492.
- Lipton RB, Stewart WF, Ryan RE, Saper J, Silberstein S, Sheftell F. Efficacy and safety of acetaminophen, aspirin, and caffeine in alleviating migraine headache pain – three double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials. *Arch Neurol* 1998; 55: 210–217.
- Diener H, Pfaffenrath V, Pageler L. The fixed combination of acetylsalicylic acid, paracetamol and caffeine is more effective than single substances and dual combination for the treatment of headache: a multi-centre, randomized, double-blind, single-dose, placebo-controlled parallel group study. *Cephalalgia* 2005; 25: 776–787.
- 22. Goldstein J, Silberstein SD, Saper JR, Ryan RE Jr, Lipton RB. Acetaminophen, aspirin, and caffeine in combination versus ibuprofen for acute migraine: results from a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, parallelgroup, single-dose, placebo-controlled study. *Headache* 2006; 46: 444–453.
- Limmroth V, May A, Diener HC. Lysine-acetylsalicylic acid in acute migraine attacks. *Eur Neurol* 1999; 41: 88– 93.
- 24. Bigal ME, Bordini CA, Tepper SJ, Speciali JG. Intravenous dipyrone in the acute treatment of migraine without aura and migraine with aura: a randomized, double blind, placebo controlled study. *Headache* 2002; 42: 862–871.
- Diener HC, Eikermann A, Gessner U, et al. Efficacy of 1000 mg effervescent acetylsalicylic acid and sumatriptan in treating associated migraine symptoms. Eur Neurol 2004; 52: 50–56.
- 26. Lampl C, Voelker M, Diener HC. Efficacy and safety of 1000 mg effervescent aspirin: individual patient data meta-analysis of three trials in migraine headache and

- migraine accompanying symptoms. *J Neurol* 2007; **254:** 705–712.
- 27. Kudrow D, Thomas HM, Ruoff G, *et al.* Valdecoxib for treatment of a single, acute, moderate to severe migraine headache. *Headache* 2005; **45:** 1151–1162.
- Misra UK, Jose M, Kalita J. Rofecoxib versus ibuprofen for acute treatment of migraine: a randomised placebo controlled trial. *Postgrad Med J* 2004; 80: 720–723.
- 29. Saper J, Dahlöf C, So Y, *et al.* Rofecoxib in the acute treatment of migraine: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Headache* 2006; **46:** 264–275.
- 30. Silberstein S, Tepper S, Brandes J, *et al.* Randomised placebo-controlled trial of rofecoxib in the acute treatment of migraine. *Neurology* 2004; **62**: 1552–1557.
- Ross-Lee LM, Eadie MJ, Heazlewood V, Bochner F, Tyrer JH. Aspirin pharmacokinetics in migraine. The effect of metoclopramide. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1983; 24: 777–785.
- 32. Waelkens J. Dopamine blockade with domperidone: bridge between prophylactic and abortive treatment of migraine? A dose-finding study. *Cephalalgia* 1984; **4:** 85–90
- 33. Schulman E, Dermott K. Sumatriptan plus metoclopramide in triptan-nonresponsive migraineurs. *Headache* 2003; **43**: 729–733.
- Ellis GL, Delaney J, DeHart DA, Owens A. The efficacy of metoclopramide in the treatment of migraine headache. *Ann Emerg Med* 1993; 22: 191–195.
- 35. Friedman BW, Corbo J, Lipton RB, *et al.* A trial of metoclopramide vs sumatriptan for the emergency department treatment of migraines. *Neurology* 2005; **64**: 463–468.
- 36. Tfelt-Hansen P, Saxena PR, Dahlöf C, *et al.* Ergotamine in the acute treatment of migraine. A review and European consensus. *Brain* 2000; **123**: 9–18.
- The Multinational Oral Sumatriptan Cafergot Comparative Study Group. A randomized, double-blind comparison of sumatriptan and Cafergot in the acute treatment of migraine. *Eur Neurol* 1991; 31: 314
  322
- Christie S, Göbel H, Mateos V, et al. Crossover comparison of efficacy and preference for rizatriptan 10 mg versus ergotamine/caffeine in migraine. Eur Neurol 2003;
   49: 20–29.
- Diener HC, Reches A, Pascual J, et al. Efficacy, tolerability and safety of oral eletriptan and ergotamine plus caffeine (Cafergot) in the acute treatment of migraine: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison. Eur Neurol 2002; 47: 99–107.
- Lainez MJ, Galvan J, Heras J, Vila C. Crossover, double-blind clinical trial comparing almotriptan and ergotamine plus caffeine for acute migraine therapy. *Eur J Neurol* 2007; 14: 269–275.
- Evers S, Gralow I, Bauer B, et al. Sumatriptan and ergotamine overuse and drug-induced headache: a clinicoepidmiologic study. Clin Neuropharmacol 1999; 22: 201–206.
- Ferrari MD, Roon KI, Lipton RB, Goadsby PJ. Oral triptans (serotonin 5-HT<sub>1B/1D</sub> agonists) in acute migraine treatment: a meta-analysis of 53 trials. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 1668–1675.
- Goadsby PB, Lipton RB, Ferrai MD. Migraine: current understanding and management. N Engl J Med 2002; 346: 257–270.

- 44. The Oral Sumatriptan and Aspirin plus Metoclopramide Comparative Study Group. A study to compare oral sumatriptan with oral aspirin plus oral metoclopramide in the acute treatment of migraine. *Eur Neurol* 1992; **32:** 177–184.
- 45. Geraud G, Compagnon A, Rossi A. Zolmitriptan versus a combination of acetylsalicylic acid and metoclopramide in the acute oral treatment of migraine: a doubleblind, randomised, three-attack study. *Eur Neurol* 2002; 47: 88–98
- Diamond M, Hettiarachchi J, Hilliard B, Sands G, Nett R. Effectiveness of eletriptan in acute migraine: primary care for Excedrin nonresponders. *Headache* 2004; 44: 209–216
- 47. Diener HC, for the ASASUMAMIG Study Group. Efficacy and safety of intravenous acetylsalicylic acid lysinate compared to subcutaneous sumatriptan and parenteral placebo in the acute treatment of migraine. A double-blind, double-dummy, randomized, multicenter, parallel group study. *Cephalalgia* 1999; 19: 581– 588
- 48. Pascual J, Cabarrocas X. Within-patient early versus delayed treatment of migraine attacks with almotriptan: the sooner the better. *Headache* 2002; **42**: 28–31.
- Burstein R, Collins B, Jakubowski M. Defeating migraine pain with triptans: a race against the development of cutaneous allodynia. *Ann Neurol* 2004; 55: 19–26.
- Dowson A, Massiou H, Lainez J, Cabarrocas X. Almotriptan improves response rates when treatment is within 1 hour of migraine onset. *Headache* 2004; 44: 318–322
- Cady R, Martin V, Mauskop A, et al. Efficacy of rizatriptan 10 mg administered early in a migraine attack. Headache 2006; 46: 914–924.
- 52. Goadsby PJ, Zanchin G, Geraud G, et al. Early versus non-early intervention in acute migraine – 'Act when Mild – AwM'. A double-blind placebo-controlled trial of almotriptan. Cephalalgia 2008; 28: 383–391.
- 53. Linde M, Mellberg A, Dahlöf C. Subcutaneous sumatriptan provides symptomatic relief at any pain intensity or time during the migraine attack. *Cephalalgia* 2006; **26**: 113–121.
- 54. Cady R, Martin V, Mauskop A, *et al.* Symptoms of cutaneous sensitivity pre-treatment and post-treatment: results from the rizatriptan TAME studies. *Cephalalgia* 2007; **27:** 1055–1060.
- 55. Bigal ME, Serrano D, Buse D, Scher A, Stewart WF, Lipton RB. Acute migraine medications and evolution from episodic to chronic migraine: a longitudinal population-based study. *Headache* 2008; 48: 1157–1168.
- Limmroth V, Kazarawa S, Fritsche G, Diener HC. Headache after frequent use of new serotonin agonists zolmitriptan and naratriptan. *Lancet* 1999; 353: 378.
- Katsarava Z, Fritsche G, Muessig M, Diener HC, Limmroth V. Clinical features of withdrawal headache following overuse of triptans and other headache drugs. *Neurology* 2001; 57: 1694–1698.
- 58. Ferrari MD. How to assess and compare drugs in the management of migraine: success rates in terms of response and recurrence. *Cephalalgia* 1999; **19**(Suppl 23): 2–8.
- Ferrari MD, James MH, Bates D, et al. Oral sumatriptan: effect of a second dose, and incidence and treatment of headache recurrences. Cephalalgia 1994; 14: 330–338.

- 60. Brandes JL, Kudrow D, Stark SR, *et al.* Sumatriptannaproxen for acute treatment of migraine: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2007; **297**: 1443–1454.
- 61. O'Quinn S, Davis RL, Guttermann DL, et al. Prospective large-scale study of the tolerability of subcutaneous sumatriptan injection for the acute treatment of migraine. Cephalalgia 1999; 19: 223–231.
- Welch KMA, Mathew NT, Stone P, Rosamond W, Saiers J, Gutterman D. Tolerability of sumatriptan: clinical trials and post-marketing experience. *Cephalalgia* 2000; 20: 687–695.
- 63. Velentgas P, Cole JA, Mo J, Sikes CR, Walker AM. Severe vascular events in migraine patients. *Headache* 2004; **44**: 642–651.
- 64. Hall G, Brown M, Mo J, MacRae KD. Triptans in migraine: the risks of stroke, cardiovascular disease, and death in practice. *Neurology* 2004; **62:** 563–568.
- 65. Bates D, Ashford E, Dawson R, *et al.* Subcutaneous sumatriptan during the migraine aura. *Neurology* 1994; **44:** 1587–1592.
- 66. Olesen J, Diener HC, Schoenen J, Hettiarachchi J. No effect of eletriptan administration during the aura phase of migraine. *Eur J Neurol* 2004; **11:** 671–677.
- 67. Diener HC, Gendolla A, Gebert I, Beneke M. Almotriptan in migraine patients who respond poorly to oral sumatriptan: a double-blind, randomized trial. *Headache* 2005; **45**: 874–882.
- 68. Tfelt-Hansen P. Sumatriptan for the treatment of migraine attacks a review of controlled clinical trials. *Cephalalgia* 1993; **13:** 238–244.
- Stark S, Spierings EL, McNeal S, Putnam GP, Bolden-Watson CP, O'Quinn S. Naratriptan efficacy in migraineurs who respond poorly to oral sumatriptan. *Headache* 2000; 40: 513–520.
- Goadsby PJ. Role of naratriptan in clinical practice. Cephalalgia 1997; 17: 472–473.
- 71. Markus F, Mikko K. Frovatriptan review. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2007; **8:** 3029–3033.
- 72. Charlesworth BR, Dowson AJ, Purdy A, Becker WJ, Boes-Hansen S, Färkkilä M. Speed of onset and efficacy of zolmitriptan nasal spray in the acute treatment of migraine: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study versus zolmitriptan tablet. *CNS Drugs* 2003; **17:** 653–667.
- Dahlöf C, Cady R, Poole AC. Speed of onset and efficacy of sumatriptan fast-disintegrating/rapid release tablets: results of two replicate randomised, placebocontrolled studies. *Headache Care* 2004; 1: 277–280.
- The Subcutaneous Sumatriptan International Study Group. Treatment of migraine attacks with sumatriptan. N Engl J Med 1991; 325: 316–321.
- 75. Becker WJ, on behalf of the Study Group. A placebocontrolled, dose-defining study of sumatriptan nasal spray in the acute treatment of migraine. *Cephalalgia* 1995; **15**(Suppl 14): 271–276.
- Ryan R, Elkind A, Baker CC, Mullican W, DeBussey S, Asgharnejad M. Sumatriptan nasal spray for the acute treatment of migraine. *Neurology* 1997; 49: 1225– 1230.
- 77. Tepper SJ, Cochran A, Hobbs S, Woessner M. Sumatriptan suppositories for the acute treatment of migraine. *Int J Clin Pract* 1998; **52:** 31–35.
- 78. Goldstein J, Ryan R, Jiang K, et al. Crossover comparison of rizatriptan 5 mg and 10 mg versus sumatrip-

- tan 25 and 50 mg in migraine. *Headache* 1998; **38:** 737–747.
- Tfelt-Hansen P, Teall J, Rodriguez F, et al. Oral rizatriptan versus oral sumatriptan: a direct comparative study in the acute treatment of migraine. Headache 1998; 38: 748–755.
- 80. Tfelt-Hansen P, Ryan RE. Oral therapy for migraine: comparisons between rizatriptan and sumatriptan. A review of four randomized, double-blind clinical trials. *Neurology* 2000; **55**(Suppl 2): S19–S24.
- Geraud G, Keywood C, Senard JM. Migraine headache recurrence: relationship to clinical, pharmacological, and pharmacokinetic properties of triptans. *Headache* 2003; 43: 376–388
- 82. Bigal M, Sheftell F, Tepper S, Tepper D, Ho TW, Rapoport A. A randomized double-blind study comparing rizatriptan, dexamethasone, and the combination of both in the acute treatment of menstrually related migraine. *Headache* 2008; **48:** 1286–1293.
- Edwards KR, Norton J, Behnke M. Comparison of intravenous valproate versus intramuscular dihydroergotamine and metoclopramide for acute treatment of migraine headache. *Headache* 2001; 41: 976–980.
- 84. Leniger T, Pageler L, Stude P, Diener HC, Limmroth V. Comparison of intravenous valproate with intravenous lysine-acetylsalicylic acid in acute migraine attacks. *Headache* 2005; **45**: 42–46.
- Soyka D, Taneri Z, Oestreich W, Schmidt R. Flunarizine i.v. in the acute treatment of the migraine attack. A double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 1988; 8(Suppl 8): 35–40.
- 86. Silberstein SD, Freitag FG, Rozen TD, *et al.* Tramadol/acetaminophen for the treatment of acute migraine pain: findings of a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Headache* 2005; **45**: 1317–1327.
- 87. Kangasniemi P, Hedman C. Metoprolol and propranolol in the prophylactic treatment of classical and common migraine. A double-blind study. *Cephalalgia* 1984; **4:** 91–96.
- 88. Olsson JE, Behring HC, Forssman B, *et al.* Metoprolol and propranolol in migraine prophylaxis: a double-blind multicenter study. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1984; **70:** 160–168.
- Steiner TJ, Joseph R, Hedman C, Rose FC. Metoprolol in the prophylaxis of migraine: parallel group comparison with placebo and dose-ranging follow-up. *Headache* 1988; 28: 15–23.
- 90. Sorensen PS, Larsen BH, Rasmussen MJK, *et al.* Flunarizine versus metoprolol in migraine prophylaxis: a double-blind, randomized parallel group study of efficacy and tolerability. *Headache* 1991; **31:** 650–657.
- 91. Wörz R, Reinhardt-Benmalek B, Grotemeyer KH. Bisoprolol and metoprolol in the prophylactic treatment of migraine with and without aura a randomized double-blind cross-over multicenter study. *Cephalalgia* 1991; 11(Suppl 11): 152–153.
- 92. Diamond S, Medina JL. Double blind study of propranolol for migraine prophylaxis. *Headache* 1976; **16**: 24–27.
- 93. Tfelt-Hansen P, Standnes B, Kangasniemi P, Hakkarainen H, Olesen J. Timolol vs. propranolol vs. placebo in common migraine prophylaxis: a double-blind multicenter trial. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1984; **69:** 1–8.
- 94. Nadelmann JW, Stevens J, Saper JR. Propranolol in the prophylaxis of migraine. *Headache* 1986; **26:** 175–182.

- Havanka-Kanniainen H, Hokkanen E, Myllylä VV. Long acting propranolol in the prophylaxis of migraine. Comparison of the daily doses of 80 mg and 160 mg. Headache 1988; 28: 607–611.
- Ludin H-P. Flunarizine and propranolol in the treatment of migraine. *Headache* 1989; 29: 218–223.
- 97. Holroyd KA, Penzien DB, Cordingley GE. Propranolol in the management of recurrent migraine: a meta-analytic review. *Headache* 1991; **31:** 333–340.
- Gawel MJ, Kreeft J, Nelson RF, Simard D, Arnott WS. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of flunarizine to propranolol in the prophylaxis of migraine. *Can J Neurol* Sci 1992: 19: 340–345.
- van de Ven LLM, Franke CL, Koehler PJ. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with bisoprolol: a placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 1997; 17: 596–599.
- Stellar S, Ahrens SP, Meibohm AR, Reines SA. Migraine prevention with timolol. A double-blind crossover study. *JAMA* 1984; 252: 2576–2580.
- Johannsson V, Nilsson LR, Widelius T, et al. Atenolol in migraine prophylaxis a double-blind cross-over multicentre study. Headache 1987; 27: 372–374.
- Louis P. A double-blind placebo-controlled prophylactic study of flunarizine in migraine. *Headache* 1981; 21: 235– 239.
- 103. Diamond S, Schenbaum H. Flunarizine, a calcium channel blocker, in the prophylactic treatment of migraine. *Headache* 1983; 23: 39–42.
- Amery WK, Caers LI, Aerts TJL. Flunarizine, a calcium entry blocker in migraine prophylaxis. *Headache* 1985; 25: 249–254.
- 105. Bono G, Manzoni GC, Martucci N, et al. Flunarizine in common migraine: Italian cooperative trial. II. Long-term follow-up. Cephalalgia 1985; 5(Suppl 2): 155–158.
- Centonze V, Tesauro P, Magrone D, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of flunarizine in the prophylaxis of migraine. Cephalalgia 1985; 5(Suppl 2): 163–168.
- 107. Nappi G, Sandrini G, Savoini G, Cavallini A, de Rysky C, Micieli G. Comparative efficacy of cyclandelate versus flunarizine in the prophylactic treatment of migraine. Drugs 1987; 33(Suppl 2): 103–109.
- Freitag FG, Diamond S, Diamond M. A placebo controlled trial of flunarizine in migraine prophylaxis. *Cephalalgia* 1991; 11(Suppl 11): 157–158.
- Bassi P, Brunati L, Rapuzzi B, Alberti E, Mangoni A. Low dose flunarizine in the prophylaxis of migraine. Headache 1992; 32: 390–392.
- Diamond S, Freitag FG. A double blind trial of flunarizine in migraine prophylaxis. *Headache Q* 1993; 4: 169–172.
- 111. Balkan S, Aktekin B, Önal Z. Efficacy of flunarizine in the prophylactic treatment of migraine. *Gazi Med J* 1994; **5:** 81–84.
- 112. Diener H, Matias-Guiu J, Hartung E, et al. Efficacy and tolerability in migraine prophylaxis of flunarizine in reduced doses: a comparison with propranolol 160 mg daily. Cephalalgia 2002; 22: 209–221.
- 113. Gerber WD, Schellenberg R, Thom M, *et al.* Cyclandelate versus propranolol in the prophylaxis of migraine a double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Funct Neurol* 1995; **10:** 27–35.
- 114. Diener HC, Föh M, Iaccarino C, et al. Cyclandelate in the prophylaxis of migraine: a randomized, parallel,

- double-blind study in comparison with placebo and propranolol. *Cephalalgia* 1996; **16:** 441–447.
- Siniatchkin M, Gerber WD, Vein A. Clinical efficacy and central mechanisms of cyclandelate in migraine: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Funct Neurol* 1998; 13: 47–56.
- 116. Diener H, Krupp P, Schmitt T, *et al.* Cyclandelate in the prophylaxis of migraine: a placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 2001; **21:** 66–70.
- 117. Kaniecki RG. A comparison of divalproex with propranolol and placebo for the prophylaxis of migraine without aura. Arch Neurol 1997; 54: 1141–1145.
- 118. Klapper J, on behalf of the Divalproex Sodium in Migraine Prophylaxis Study Group. Divalproex sodium in migraine prophylaxis: a dose-controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 1997; 17: 103–108.
- Silberstein SD, Collins SD, Carlson H. Safety and efficacy of once-daily, extended-release divalproex sodium monotherapy for the prophylaxis of migraine headaches. *Cephalalgia* 2000; 20: 269.
- Freitag F, Collins S, Carlson H, et al. A randomized trial of divalproex sodium extended-release tablets in migraine prophylaxis. Neurology 2002; 58: 1652–1659.
- Brandes J, Saper J, Diamond M, et al. Topiramate for migraine prevention: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004; 291: 965–973.
- 122. Diener H, Tfelt-Hansen P, Dahlöf C, *et al.* Topiramate in migraine prophylaxis: results from a placebo-controlled trial with propranolol as an active control. *J Neurol* 2004: **251**: 943–950.
- 123. Mei D, Capuano A, Vollono C, et al. Topiramate in migraine prophylaxis: a randomised double-blind versus placebo study. Neurol Sci 2004; 25: 245–250.
- Silberstein SD, Neto W, Schmitt J, Jacobs D. Topiramate in migraine prevention: results of a large controlled trial. *Arch Neurol* 2004; 61: 490–495.
- Diener HC, Bussone G, Van Oene JC, et al. Topiramate reduces headache days in chronic migraine: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Cephalalgia 2007; 27: 814–823.
- 126. Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, Dodick DW, et al. Efficacy and safety of topiramate for the treatment of chronic migraine: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Headache 2007; 47: 170–180.
- 127. Steiner TJ, Findley LJ, Yuen AWC. Lamotrigine versus placebo in the prophylaxis of migraine with and without aura. *Cephalalgia* 1997; **17**: 109–112.
- 128. Lampl C, Buzath A, Klinger D, Neumann K. Lamotrigine in the prophylactic treatment of migraine aura a pilot study. *Cephalalgia* 1999; **19:** 58–63.
- Mathew NT, Rapoport A, Saper J, et al. Efficacy of gabapentin in migraine prophylaxis. Headache 2001; 41: 119–128.
- Silberstein S, Saper J, Berenson F, Somogyi M, McCague K, D'Souza J. Oxcarbazepine in migraine headache: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Neurology* 2008; 70: 548–555.
- 131. Peto R, Gray R, Collins R, Wheatly K, Hennekens C, Jamrozik K. Randomised trial of prophylactic daily aspirin in male British doctors. BMJ 1988; 296: 313–316.
- Buring JE, Peto R, Hennekens CH. Low-dose aspirin for migraine prophylaxis. *JAMA* 1990; 264: 1711–1713.
- Ziegler DK, Ellis DJ. Naproxen in prophylaxis of migraine. Arch Neurol 1985; 42: 582–584.

- Welch KMA, Ellis DJ, Keenan PA. Successful migraine prophylaxis with naproxen sodium. *Neurology* 1985; 35: 1304–1310.
- 135. Bellavance AJ, Meloche JP. A comparative study of naproxen sodium, pizotyline and placebo in migraine prophylaxis. *Headache* 1990; 30: 710–715.
- 136. Mikkelsen BM, Falk JV. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with tolfenamic acid: a comparative double-blind crossover study between tolfenamic acid and placebo. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1982; **66:** 105–111.
- Mikkelsen B, Pedersen KK, Christiansen LV. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with tolfenamic acid, propranolol and placebo. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1986; 73: 423–427
- Gomersall JD, Stuart A. Amitriptyline in migraine prophylaxis: changes in pattern of attacks during a controlled clinical trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychchiatry* 1973; 36: 684–690.
- Couch JR, Hassanein RS. Amitriptyline in migraine prophylaxis. Arch Neurol 1979; 36: 695–699.
- Ziegler DK, Hurwitz A, Hassanein RS, Kodanaz HA, Preskorn SH, Mason J. Migraine prophylaxis. A comparison of propranolol and amitriptyline. *Arch Neurol* 1987; 44: 486–489.
- 141. Ziegler DK, Hurwitz A, Preskorn S, Hassanein R, Seim J. Propranolol and amitriptyline in prophylaxis of migraine: pharmacokinetic and therapeutic effects. *Arch Neurol* 1993; 50: 825–830.
- 142. Orholm M, Honoré PF, Zeeberg I. A randomized general practice group-comparative study of femoxetine and placebo in the prophylaxis of migraine. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1986; **74:** 235–239.
- 143. Zeeberg I, Orholm M, Nielsen JD, Honore PLF, Larsen JJV. Femoxetine in the prophylaxis of migraine a randomised comparison with placebo. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1981; **64:** 452–459.
- 144. Adly C, Straumanis J, Chesson A. Fluoxetine prophylaxis of migraine. *Headache* 1992; **32:** 101–104.
- 145. Steiner TJ, Ahmed F, Findley LJ, MacGregor EA, Wilkinson M. S-fluoxetine in the prophylaxis of migraine: a phase II double-blind randomized placebocontrolled study. *Cephalalgia* 1998; 18: 283–286.
- 146. d'Amato CC, Pizza V, Marmolo T, Giordano E, Alfano V, Nasta A. Fluoxetine for migraine prophylaxis: a double-blind trial. *Headache* 1999; 39: 716–719.
- 147. Saper JR, Silberstein SD, Lake AE, Winters ME. Double-blind trial of fluoxetine: chronic daily headache and migraine. *Headache* 1994; **34:** 497–502.
- 148. Ozyalcin SN, Talu GK, Kiziltan E, Yucel B, Ertas M, Disci R. The efficacy and safety of venlafaxine in the prophylaxis of migraine. *Headache* 2005; 45: 144–152.
- 149. Adelman LC, Adelman JU, von Seggern R, Mannix LK. Venlafaxine extended release (XR) for the prophylaxis of migraine and tension-type headache: a retrospective study in a clinical setting. *Headache* 2000; 40: 572–580.
- 150. Bulut S, Berilgen MS, Baran A, Tekatas A, Atmaca M, Mungen B. Venlafaxine versus amitriptyline in the prophylactic treatment of migraine: randomized, doubleblind, crossover study. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2004; 107: 44–48.
- 151. Schrader H, Stovner LJ, Helde G, Sand T, Bovim G. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (lisinopril): randomised,

- placebo-controlled, crossover trial. *BMJ* 2001; **322:** 19–22.
- 152. Tronvik E, Stovner LJ, Helde G, Sand T, Bovim G. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with an angiotensin II receptor blocker. A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002; **289**: 65–69.
- 153. Schoenen J, Jacquy J, Lenaerts M. Effectiveness of high-dose riboflavin in migraine prophylaxis a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 1998; **50:** 466–470.
- 154. Sandor PS, di Clemente L, Coppola G, et al. Efficacy of coenzyme Q10 in migraine prophylaxis: a randomised controlled trial. Neurology 2005; 64: 713–715.
- 155. Pfaffenrath V, Wessely P, Meyer C, et al. Magnesium in the prophylaxis of migraine a double-blind, placebocontrolled study. *Cephalalgia* 1996; **16:** 436–440.
- 156. Peikert A, Wilimzig C, Köhne-Volland R. Prophylaxis of migraine with oral magnesium: results from a prospective, multi-center, placebo-controlled and double-blind randomized study. *Cephalalgia* 1996; 16: 257–263.
- 157. Diener HC, Rahlfs VW, Danesch U. The first placebocontrolled trial of a special butterbur root extract for the prevention of migraine: reanalysis of efficacy criteria. *Eur Neurol* 2004; 51: 89–97.
- 158. Lipton RB, Göbel H, Einhäupl KM, Wilks K, Mauskop A. Petasites hybridus root (butterbur) is an effective preventive treatment for migraine. *Neurology* 2004; 63: 2240–2244.
- 159. Pfaffenrath V, Diener HC, Fischer M, Friede M, Henneicke-von Zepelin HH, Investigators. The efficacy and safety of Tanacetum parthenium (feverfew) in migraine prophylaxis a double-blind, multicentre, randomized placebo-controlled dose–response study. *Cephalalgia* 2002; **22:** 523–532.
- 160. Diener HC, Pfaffenrath V, Schnitker J, Friede M, Henneicke-von Zepelin HH. Efficacy and safety of 6.25 mg t.i.d. feverfew CO2-extract (MIG-99) in migraine prevention - a randomized, double-blind, multicentre, placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 2005; 25: 1031–1041.
- Pittler MH, Ernst E. Feverfew for preventing migraine. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004; 1: CD002286.
- 162. Evers S, Mylecharane E. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory and miscellaneous drugs in migraine prophylaxis. In: Olesen J, Goadsby PJ, Ramadan N, Tfelt-Hansen P, Welch KMA, eds. *The Headaches*, 3rd edn. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, 2006: 553–566.
- 163. Silberstein SD. Methysergide. *Cephalalgia* 1998; **18:** 421–435
- 164. Mylecharane EJ. 5-HT2 receptor antagonists and migraine therapy. *J Neurol* 1991; **238**(Suppl 1): S45–S52.
- Silberstein S, Mathew N, Saper J, Jenkins S. Botulinum toxin type A as a migraine preventive treatment. *Head-ache* 2000; 40: 445–450.
- 166. Brin MF, Swope DM, O'Brian C, Abbasi S, Pogoda JM. Botox® for migraine: double-blind, placebo-controlled, region-specific evaluation. *Cephalalgia* 2000; **20:** 421– 422.
- 167. Evers S, Vollmer-Haase J, Schwaag S, Rahmann A, Husstedt IW, Frese A. Botulinum toxin A in the prophylactic treatment of migraine – a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 2004; 24: 838–843
- 168. Dodick DW, Mauskop A, Elkind AH, et al. Botulinum toxin type A for the prophylaxis of chronic daily head-

- ache: subgroup analysis of patients not receiving other prophylactic medications: a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Headache* 2005; **45**: 315–324.
- Whitmarsh TE, Coleston-Shields DM, Steiner TJ. Double-blind randomized placebo-controlled study of homoeopathic prophylaxis of migraine. *Cephalalgia* 1997; 17: 600–604.
- Walach H, Haeusler W, Lowes T, et al. Classical homeopathic treatment of chronic headaches. Cephalalgia 1997; 17: 119–126.
- 171. Straumsheim P, Borchgrevink C, Mowinckel P, Kierulf H, Hafslund O. Homeopathic treatment of migraine: a double blind, placebo controlled trial of 68 patients. *Br Homeopath J* 2000; 89: 4–7.
- 172. Brandes JL, Visser WH, Farmer MV, et al. Montelukast for migraine prophylaxis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Headache* 2004; 44: 581–586.
- 173. Vahedi K, Taupin P, Djomby R, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of acetazolamide in migraine prophylaxis: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. J Neurol 2002; 249: 206–211.
- 174. Goldstein DJ, Offen WW, Klein EG, *et al.* Lanepitant, an NK-1 antagonist, in migraine prevention. *Cephalalgia* 2001: **21:** 102–106.
- 175. Friedman BW, Greenwald P, Bania TC, et al. Randomized trial of IV dexamethasone for acute migraine in the emergency department. Neurology 2007; 69: 2038–2044.
- 176. Baden EY, Hunter CJ. Intravenous dexamethasone to prevent the recurrence of benign headache after discharge from the emergency department: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. CJEM 2006; 8: 393–400.
- 177. Donaldson D, Sundermann R, Jackson R, Bastani A. Intravenous dexamethasone vs placebo as adjunctive therapy to reduce the recurrence rate of acute migraine headaches: a multicenter, double-blinded, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. *Am J Emerg Med* 2008; 26: 124–130.
- 178. Innes GD, Macphail I, Dillon EC, Metcalfe C, Gao M. Dexamethasone prevents relapse after emergency department treatment of acute migraine: a randomized clinical trial. *CJEM* 1999; **1:** 26–33.
- 179. Rowe BH, Colman I, Edmonds ML, Blitz S, Walker A, Wiens S. Randomized controlled trial of intravenous dexamethasone to prevent relapse in acute migraine headache. *Headache* 2008; **48**: 333–340.
- 180. Bigal ME, Bordini CA, Speciali JG. Intravenous metamizol (Dipyrone) in acute migraine treatment and in episodic tension-type headache a placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 2001; **21:** 90–95.
- 181. Leinisch E, Evers S, Kaempfe N, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of intravenous acetaminophen in the treatment of acute migraine attacks: a double-blind, placebo-controlled parallel group multicenter study. Pain 2005; 117: 396–400.
- 182. Facchinetti F, Fioroni L, Sances G, Romano G, Nappi G, Genazzani AR. Naproxen sodium in the treatment of premenstrual symptoms: a placebo-controlled study. Gynecol Obstet Invest 1989; 28: 205–208.
- 183. Sargent J, Solbach P, Damasio H, et al. A comparison of naproxen sodium to propranolol hydrochloride and a placebo control for the prophylaxis of migraine headache. Headache 1985; 25: 320–324.

- 184. Sances G, Martignoni E, Fioroni L, Blandini F, Facchinetti F, Nappi G. Naproxen sodium in menstrual migraine prophylaxis: a double-blind placebo controlled study. *Headache* 1990; 30: 705–709.
- 185. Szekely B, Merryman S, Croft H, Post G. Prophylactic effects of naproxen sodium on perimenstrual headache: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 1989; 9(Suppl 10): 452–453.
- 186. Newman L, Mannix LK, Landy S, et al. Naratriptan as short-term prophylaxis in menstrually associated migraine: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Headache 2001; 41: 248–256.
- Silberstein SD, Elkind AH, Schreiber C, Keywood C. A randomized trial of frovatriptan for the intermittent prevention of menstrual migraine. *Neurology* 2004; 63: 261–269
- 188. Mannix LK, Savani N, Landy S, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of naratriptan for short-term prevention of menstrually related migraine: data from two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies. *Headache* 2007; 47: 1037–1049.
- De Lignieres B, Mauvais-Javis P, Mas JML, Mas JL, Touboul PJ, Bousser MG. Prevention of menstrual migraine by percutaneous oestradiol. BMJ 1986; 293: 1540
- Dennerstein L, Morse C, Burrows G, Oats J, Brown J, Smith M. Menstrual migraine: a double blind trial of percutaneous oestradiol. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 1988; 2: 113–120.
- 191. Smits MG, van den Meer YG, Pfeil JPJM, Rijnierse JJMM, Vos AJM. Perimenstrual migraine: effect of Estraderm® TTS and the value of contingent negative variation and exteroceptive temporalis muscle suppression test. *Headache* 1994; **34**: 103–106.
- 192. Pradalier A, Vincent D, Beaulieu PH, Baudesson G, Launay J-M. Correlation between estradiol plasma level and therapeutic effect on menstrual migraine. *Proc* 10th Migraine Trust Symp 1994; 129–132.
- 193. MacGregor EA, Frith A, Ellis J, Aspinall L, Hackshaw A. Prevention of menstrual attacks of migraine: a double-blind placebo-controlled crossover study. *Neurology* 2006; 67: 2159–2163.
- 194. O'Quinn S, Ephross SA, Williams V, Davis RL, Gutterman DL, Fox AW. Pregnancy and perinatal outcomes in migraineurs using sumatriptan: a prospective study. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 1999; 263: 7–12.
- Olesen C, Steffensen FH, Sorensen HT, Nielsen GL, Olsen J. Pregnancy outcome following prescription for sumatriptan. *Headache* 2000; 40: 20–24.
- 196. Källen B, Lygner PE. Delivery outcome in women who used drugs for migraine during pregnancy with special reference to sumatriptan. *Headache* 2001; 41: 351–356.
- Fox AW, Chambers CD, Anderson PO, Diamond ML, Spierings EL. Evidence-based assessment of pregnancy outcome after sumatriptan exposure. *Headache* 2002; 42: 8–15.
- 198. Loder E. Safety of sumatriptan in pregnancy: a review of the data so far. *CNS Drugs* 2003; **17:** 1–7.
- Evans EW, Lorber KC. Use of 5-HT1 agonists in pregnancy. Ann Pharmacother 2008; 42: 543–549.
- 200. Goadsby PJ, Goldberg J, Silberstein SD. The pregnant migraineur: what can be done? Br Med J 2008; 336: 1502–1504.

- Evers S, Kropp P, Pothmann R, Heinen F, Ebinger F.
   Therapie idiopathischer Kopfschmerzen im Kindesund Jugendalter. Nervenheilkunde 2008; 27: 1127–1137.
- Überall MA, Wenzel D. Intranasal sumatriptan for the acute treatment of migraine in children. *Neurology* 1999;
   52: 1507–1510.
- 203. Winner P, Rothner AD, Saper J, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of sumatriptan nasal spray in the treatment of acute migraine in adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2000; **106**: 989–997.
- Ahonen K, Hämäläinen M, Rantala H, Hoppu K. Nasal sumatriptan is effective in treatment of migraine attacks in children: a randomized trial. *Neurology* 2004; 62: 883– 887
- 205. Hämäläinen ML, Hoppu K, Santavuori P. Sumatriptan for migraine attacks in children: a randomized placebocontrolled study. Do children with migraine attacks respond to oral sumatriptan differently from adults? *Neurology* 1997; 48: 1100–1103.
- Evers S. Drug treatment of migraine in children. A comparative review. *Paediatr Drugs* 1999; 1: 7–18.
- Winner P, Lewis D, Visser H, et al. Rizatriptan 5 mg for the acute treatment of migraine in adolescents: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Headache 2002; 42: 49–55.
- Solomon GD, Cady RK, Klapper JA, Earl NL, Saper JR, Ramadan NM. Clinical efficacy and tolerability of

- 2,5 mg zolmitriptan for the acute treatment of migraine. *Neurology* 1997; **49:** 1219–1225.
- Tepper SJ, Donnan GA, Dowson AJ, et al. A long-term study to maximise migraine relief with zolmitriptan. Curr Med Res Opin 1999; 15: 254–271.
- 210. Evers S, Rahmann A, Kraemer C, et al. Treatment of childhood migraine attacks with oral zolmitriptan and ibuprofen. *Neurology* 2006; **67:** 497–499.
- Lewis DW, Winner P, Hershey AD, Wasiewski WW, Adolescent Migraine Steering Committee. Efficacy of zolmitriptan nasal spray in adolescent migraine. *Pediat*rics 2007; 120: 390–396.
- 212. Ahonen K, Hämäläinen ML, Eerola M, Hoppu K. A randomized trial of rizatriptan in migraine attacks in children. *Neurology* 2006; **67:** 1135–1140.
- 213. Lewis D, Ashwal S, Hershey A, *et al.* Practice parameter: pharmacological treatment of migraine headache in children and adolescents: report of the American Academy of Neurology Quality Standards Subcommittee and the Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society. *Neurology* 2004; **63:** 2215–2224.
- 214. Winner P, Pearlman EM, Linder SL, Jordan DM, Fisher AC, Hulihan J. Topiramate for migraine prevention in children: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Headache* 2005; **45:** 1304–1312.
- 215. Winner P, Gendolla A, Stayer C, et al. Topiramate for migraine prevention in adolescents: a pooled analysis of efficacy and safety. *Headache* 2006; 46: 1503–1510.